

Intel Corporation
4030 Lafayette Center Drive
Chantilly, VA 20151

Page 1 of 7

FAX**RECEIVED**
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Date: September 6, 2005

SEP 06 2005

TO: USPTO
Examiner James K. Trujillo
Art Unit 2116
Fax Number 571-273-8300

FROM: Paul E. Steiner
Fax Number 703-633-3303
Phone Number 703-633-6830

SUBJECT: Application Number 09/863,103
Inventor(s) John P. Lambino, et al.
Date Filed May 22, 2001
Docket Number P11071
Title Firmware Upgrade Using Address Conversion

INCLUDED IN THIS TRANSMISSION:

Fax Cover Sheet	1 page
Transmittal	1 page
Response Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116	5 pages

I hereby certify that the above listed correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO to:

Commissioner for Patents, PO BOX 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on September 6, 2005

Cathy Dikes Cathy Dikes

Important Notice

This information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmittal sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately so that arrangements can be made for the retrieval of the original document at no cost to you.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

		Application Number	09/863,103
		Filing Date	May 22, 2001
		First Named Inventor	John P. Lambino, et al.
		Art Unit	2116
		Examiner Name	James K. Trujillo
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	7	Attorney Docket Number	P11071

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Fax cover sheet
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Intel Americas		
Signature	/Paul E. Steiner/ Paul E. Steiner		
Printed name	Paul E. Steiner		
Date	September 6, 2005	Reg. No.	41,326

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature	<i>Cathy Dikes</i>		
Typed or printed name	Cathy Dikes	Date	September 6, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 06 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

John P. LAMBINO, et al.

Serial No.: 09/863,103

Group Art Unit: 2116

Filed: May 22, 2001

Examiner: J. Trujillo

FOR: FIRMWARE UPGRADE USING ADDRESS CONVERSION

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Mail Stop After Final
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the final Office Action mailed July 6, 2005, applicants provide the following remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 remain pending for reconsideration.

Applicants object to the finality of the present office action. In numbered paragraph 36, the Examiner responds, in substance, that since the updated rejection addressed the new limitations, no non-final action was necessary. This is beside the point. The point is that the office action failed to answer applicants' traversal. In the present action, in numbered paragraph 38, the Examiner offers some response to applicants' traversals. However, these traversals are the same arguments that were made in the prior response. The Examiner should have answered these traversals in the prior non-final office action. By waiting to answer these traversals until the present office action, which is final, the Examiner severely limits the applicants' ability to respond and

P11071